



LIGHTING THE PATH TO UTILITY RESILIENCY

Safe Drinking Water Act Wall Chart HDR's 18th Edition 2024

- Existing Regulations and Potential Future Regulations
- Top 10 Water Treatment Calculations
- Six Focus Areas for Utility Resiliency



TOP 10 TREATMENT AND SYSTEM CALCULATIONS

| 1. CHLORINE FEED CALCULATIONS | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| GAS CHLORINE FEED | |
| Lbs/day = | $(\text{Flow, MGD}) \times (\text{Conc., mg/L}) \times (1 \text{ lb}/453592 \text{ mg}) \times (3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal}) \times (10^6 \text{ gal}/\text{MG})$ |
| Dosage, mg/L = | $(\text{Flow, MGD}) \times (1 \text{ lb}/453592 \text{ mg}) \times (3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal}) \times (10^6 \text{ gal}/\text{MG})$ |
| SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE | |
| Chlorine Equivalent Lbs/gal = | $(\text{Trade Percent Expressed as a Decimal}) \times (1000 \text{ g/L}) \times (3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal}) \times (2.205 \text{ Lbs}/1000 \text{ g})$ |
| Gal/day = | $(\text{Process Flow, MGD}) \times (\text{Dose, mg/L}) \times (1 \text{ lb}/453592 \text{ mg}) \times (3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal}) \times (10^6 \text{ gal}/\text{MG})$ |
| DOSAGE/DEMAND/RESIDUAL | |
| Dosage, mg/L = | $(\text{Demand, mg/L}) + (\text{Residual, mg/L})$ |
| Demand, mg/L = | $(\text{Dosage, mg/L}) - (\text{Residual, mg/L})$ |
| Residual, mg/L = | $(\text{Dosage, mg/L}) - (\text{Demand, mg/L})$ |
| 2. CHEMICAL DOSING | |
| Feed, Lbs/day = | $(\text{Flow, MGD}) \times (\text{Dosage, mg/L}) \times (1 \text{ lb}/453592 \text{ mg}) \times (3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal}) \times (10^6 \text{ gal}/\text{MG})$ |
| Dosage, mg/L = | $\left\{ \frac{\text{Feed, Lbs/day} \times \frac{\% \text{ Active Ingredient}}{100} \times \frac{\% \text{ Chemical}}{100}}{(\text{Flow, MGD}) \times (1 \text{ lb}/453592 \text{ mg}) \times (3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal}) \times (10^6 \text{ gal}/\text{MG})} \right\}$ |
| 3. CHEMICAL FEED | |
| CHEMICAL FEED PUMPS | |
| GPD = | $(\text{Flow, MGD}) \times (\text{Dosage, mg/L}) \times (1 \text{ lb}/453592 \text{ mg}) \times (3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal}) \times (10^6 \text{ gal}/\text{MG})$ (Dry lbs/gal) |
| CHEMICAL FEED RATE | |
| GPD = | $\frac{(\text{Feed, mL/min}) \times (1.440 \text{ min/day})}{(1000 \text{ mL/L}) \times (3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal})}$ |
| GPM = | $\frac{(\text{Feed, mL/min})}{(3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal})}$ |
| mL/min = | $(\text{GPD}) \times (1000 \text{ mL/L}) \times (3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal})$ (1.440 min/day) |
| mL/min = | $(\text{GPM}) \times (3.785 \text{ mL/gal})$ |

STAY IN TOUCH

Receive our articles, insights and tools regarding the latest innovations, regulations and technical challenges in the water industry. To subscribe, visit hdrinc.com/sdwa-news

ADDITIONAL COPIES

To request additional copies of our SDWA Wall Chart, please visit hdrinc.com/sdwa

SDWA@hdrinc.com

| 4. PUMPS AND PUMPING | | |
|--|--|----------------------|
| PUMP SIZE | | |
| Brake Horsepower (Bhp) = | $\frac{(\text{GPM}) \times (\text{Total Dynamic Head, ft})}{(3960) \times (\text{Efficiency})}$ | |
| Overall Efficiency (Pump/Motor) = | $(\text{Motor Efficiency}) \times (\text{Pump Efficiency}) \times (\text{Drive Efficiency})$ <small>(Efficiency expressed as decimal)</small> | |
| PUMPING COSTS | | |
| Cost, \$ = | $(\text{Bhp}) \times (0.746 \text{ kW/hp}) \times (\text{Operating Hrs}) \times (\$/\text{kW-Hr})$ | |
| WELLS | | |
| Drawdown, ft = | $(\text{Pumping Level, ft}) - (\text{Static Level, ft})$ | |
| Specific Capacity, GPM/ft = | $\frac{\text{Well Yield, GPM}}{\text{Drawdown, ft}}$ | |
| 5. CT CALCULATIONS | | |
| CT_{calc} = | Disinfectant Residual Concentration (mg/L) x Disinfectant Contact Time (min) | |
| CT_{req} (3-log) = | Can be read from tables E-1 through E-13 (EPA, 1991**) in Surface Water Treatment Rule Guidance Manual using pH and temperature of water | |
| CT_{residual} = | $\frac{(\text{Log Inactivation Required}) \times (CT_{\text{req}})}{3.0}$ | |
| LOG INACTIVATIONS ARE ADDITIVE, e.g., 0.5 LOG + 1.0 LOG = 1.5 LOG | | |
| Inactivation Ratio $\frac{CT_{\text{calc}}}{CT_{\text{req}}}$ | Log Inactivation | Percent Inactivation |
| 0.17 = | 0.5 log | 68.4% |
| 0.33 = | 1.0 log | 90% |
| 0.50 = | 1.5 log | 96.8% |
| 0.67 = | 2.0 log | 99% |
| 0.83 = | 2.5 log | 99.7% |
| 1.00 = | 3.0 log | 99.9% |
| 1.33 = | 4.0 log | 99.99% |
| **Guidance Manual for Compliance with the Filtration and Disinfection Requirements for Public Water Systems using Surface Water Sources, EPA, 1991 | | |
| 6. FILTRATION | | |
| FILTER FLOW RATE | | |
| Filtration Rate, GPM = | $(\text{Filter Area, sq ft}) \times (\text{Filtration Loading Rate, GPM/sq ft})$ | |
| Filtration Loading Rate, GPM/sq ft = | $\frac{(\text{Filtration Rate, GPM})}{(\text{Filter Area, sq ft})}$ | |
| Filtration Rate, GPD = | $(\text{Filter Area, sq ft}) \times (\text{Filtration Loading Rate, GPM/sq ft}) \times (1.440 \text{ min/day})$ | |
| BACKWASH RATE | | |
| Backwash Pumping Rate, GPM = | $(\text{Filter Area, sq ft}) \times (\text{Backwash Rate, GPM/sq ft})$ | |
| Rate of Rise, ft/min = | $\frac{(\text{Backwash Rate, GPM/sq ft})}{(7.48 \text{ gal/cu ft})}$ | |

| 7. STANDARD MEMBRANE CALCULATIONS | |
|--|---|
| FLUX (l) | |
| Flux (l, GFD) = | $\frac{(\text{Feed Flow, GPD})}{(\text{Membrane Surface Area, sq ft})}$ |
| TEMPERATURE CORRECTED FLUX 20°C | |
| Flux (l, GFD) = | $(\text{l, GFD}) \times (e^{(4029 / (10 \times (T - 20))})}$ |
| TRANSMEMBRANE PRESSURE | |
| Transmembrane Pressure, PSI = | $(\text{Feed Pressure, PSI}) - (\text{Filtrate Pressure, PSI})$ |
| PERMEABILITY | |
| Permeability, GFD/PSI = | $\frac{(\text{Flux, GFD})}{(\text{Transmembrane Pressure, PSI})}$ |
| REJECTION | |
| Rejection, % = | $\left\{ 1 - \frac{(\text{Filtrate Concentration, mg/L})}{(\text{Feed Concentration, mg/L})} \right\} \times 100$ |
| RECOVERY | |
| Recovery, % = | $\left\{ \frac{(\text{Beneficial Use Flow, GPM})}{(\text{Feed Flow, GPM})} \right\} \times 100$ |
| 8. VELOCITY | |
| Flow (Q), cfs = | $(\text{Area, sq ft}) \times (\text{Velocity, fps})$ |
| Velocity, fps = | $\frac{(Q, cfs)}{(\text{Area, sq ft})}$ |
| Area, sq ft = | $\frac{(Q, cfs)}{(\text{Velocity, fps})}$ |
| 9. HARDNESS | |
| Calcium Hardness, mg/L as CaCO ₃ = | $(2.5) \times (\text{Calcium, mg/L})$ |
| Magnesium Hardness, mg/L as CaCO ₃ = | $(4.12) \times (\text{Magnesium, mg/L})$ |
| Total Hardness, mg/L as CaCO ₃ = | Calcium Hardness as CaCO ₃ + Magnesium Hardness as CaCO ₃ |
| CONVERT HARDNESS FROM MG/L TO GRAINS/GALLON | |
| Grains/gallon = | $\frac{(\text{Total Hardness, mg/L as CaCO}_3)}{\left\{ \frac{171 \text{ mg/L}}{\text{grains/gal}} \right\}}$ |
| 10. JAR TESTING | |
| Dosage, mg/L = | $\frac{(\text{Stock Volume, mL}) \times (1000 \text{ mg/gram}) \times (\text{Stock conc., grams/L})}{(\text{Sample Size, mL})}$ |
| Dosage, lbs/MG = | $\frac{(\text{Dosage, mg/L}) \times (3.785 \text{ L}/\text{gal}) \times (10^6 \text{ gal}/\text{MG})}{(1000 \text{ mg/lb}) \times (454 \text{ g/lbs})}$ |

EXISTING NATIONAL PRIMARY DRINKING WATER REGULATIONS

| REGULATIONS | NAME OF CONTAMINANT | MCL / MCLG (mg/L unless noted) | HEALTH EFFECTS OF CONTAMINANT | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS / COMMENTS <small>Refer to Code of Federal Regulations or contact your primary agency for additional details.</small> |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Inorganic Chemicals (IOCs) | Antimony | 0.006 / 0.006 | Increase in blood cholesterol; decrease in blood sugar | For IOCs: Once a year for surface waters. Once every 3 years for ground water (not including Asbestos, Lead and Copper, Nitrate, Nitrite, and Radionuclides). Minimize monitoring costs by using historical data, waters, susceptible waters, and/or making composite samples. |
| | Asbestos (fiber length >10 micrometers) | 7 MFL / 7 MFL | Increased risk of developing benign intestinal polyps | Once every 9 years. |
| | Barium | 2 / 2 | Increase in blood pressure | |
| | Beryllium | 0.004 / 0.004 | Intestinal lesions | |
| | Cadmium | 0.005 / 0.005 | Kidney damage | For IOCs: See Antimony for same monitoring requirements. |
| | Chromium (total) | 0.1 / 0.1 | Allergic dermatitis | |
| | Copper (revisions and clarifications) | TT (AL = 13) / 13 | Gastrointestinal/liver/kidney problems | See Lead for same monitoring requirements. |
| | Cyanide (as free cyanide) | 0.2 / 0.2 | Thyroid/neurological effects | |
| | Fluoride | 4.0 / 4.0 | Bone disease; Children may get mottled teeth | For IOCs: See Antimony for same monitoring requirements. |
| | Lead (revisions and clarifications) | TT (AL = 0.015) / 0 | Kidney problems; high blood pressure; physical or mental development delays in infants and children | Sample taken at the kitchen or bathroom sink tap. ALs must be met in 90% of the samples. Follow-up monitoring every 6 months after corrosion controls initiated or optimized. Reduced monitoring for systems consistently meeting AL. An AL exceedance can trigger water quality parameter monitoring, corrosion control treatment, source water monitoring/treatment, and lead service line replacement. Systems exceeding Lead AL must provide public notification to affected populations. |
| | Mercury (inorganic) | 0.002 / 0.002 | Kidney damage | For IOCs: See Antimony for same monitoring requirements. |
| | Nitrate (as NO ₃) | 10 / 10 | Methemoglobinemia (blue baby syndrome)/diuresis | Ground water annually; surface water quarterly initially, then annually. |
| | Nitrite (as NO ₂) | 1 / 1 | Hair or fingernail loss; numbness of fingers or toes; circulatory problems | One sample during first 3-year compliance period. Repeat frequency determined by primary agency. |
| | Selenium | 0.05 / 0.05 | Hair loss; changes in blood; kidney/liver/intestinal problems | |
| | Thallium | 0.002 / 0.0005 | Hair loss; changes in blood; kidney/liver/intestinal problems | For IOCs: See Antimony for same monitoring requirements. |
| | Arsenic Rule | | | |
| | Arsenic | 0.010 / 0 | Cancer risk/cardiovascular and dermal problems | Same as IOCs. Applies to CWS and NTCNWS. |
| | Radionuclides | | | |
| | Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228 | 5 pCi/L / 0 | Cancer risk | Sample point is the distribution system entry point that is representative of all sources being used. Four consecutive quarterly samples must be taken at all sample points. Rule applies to CWS only. |
| | Gross Alpha (excluding radon and uranium) | 15 pCi/L / 0 | Cancer risk | |
| | Beta Particles and Photon Emitters | 4 mrem/year / 0 | Cancer risk | Primary agency must designate vulnerable systems. Once deemed vulnerable, quarterly samples are required for beta emitters and annual samples for Tritium and Strontium-90 at entry to distribution system. Compliance is based on the running annual average of four quarterly samples taken at each sample point. |
| | Uranium | 0.030 / 0 | Kidney problems; cancer risk | Same as Combined Radium and Gross Alpha. |
| | Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOCs) | | | |
| | 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) | 0.00000003 / 0 | Cancer risk; reproductive system problems | |
| | 2,4,5-TP (Silvex) | 0.05 / 0.05 | Liver problems | |
| 2,4-D | 0.07 / 0.07 | Adrenal gland/liver/kidney problems | | |
| Acrylamide | TT / 0 | Cancer risk; nervous system/blood problems | | |
| Alschlor | 0.002 / 0 | Cancer risk; eye/liver/kidney/spleen problems/anemia | | |
| Atrazine | 0.003 / 0.003 | Cardio problems; reproductive system problems | | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene (PAHs) | 0.0002 / 0 | Cancer risk; reproductive system problems | | |
| Carbofuran | 0.04 / 0.04 | Blood/nervous/reproductive system problems | | |
| Chlordane | 0.002 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver/nervous system problems | | |
| Dalapon | 0.2 / 0.2 | Kidney problems | | |
| Di(2-ethylhexyl) adipate | 0.4 / 0.4 | Liver/weight loss/reproductive system problems | | |
| Di(2-bromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP) | 0.0002 / 0 | Cancer risk; reproductive system problems | | |
| Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) | 0.006 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver/reproductive system problems | | |
| Dinoseb | 0.007 / 0.007 | Reproductive system problems | | |
| Diquat | 0.02 / 0.02 | Ocular problems | | |
| Endosulf | 0.1 / 0.1 | Stomach/intestinal problems | | |
| Endrin | 0.002 / 0.002 | Liver problems | | |
| Epichlorohydrin | TT / 0 | Cancer risk; stomach problems | | |
| Ethylene Dibromide (EDB) | 0.00005 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver/kidney/stomach/reproductive system problems | | |
| Glyphosate | 0.7 / 0.7 | Kidney/reproductive system problems | | |
| Heptachlor | 0.0004 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver problems | | |
| Heptachlor Epoxide | 0.0002 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver problems | | |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 0.001 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver/reproductive system problems | | |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene (HEX) | 0.05 / 0.05 | Kidney/stomach problems | | |
| Lindane | 0.0002 / 0.0002 | Kidney/liver problems | | |
| Methoxychlor | 0.04 / 0.04 | Reproductive system problems | | |
| Oxamyl (Vydate) | 0.2 / 0.2 | Nervous system problems | | |
| Pentachlorophenol | 0.001 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver/kidney problems | | |
| Picloram | 0.5 / 0.5 | Liver problems | | |
| Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) | 0.0005 / 0 | Cancer risk; thymus gland/immune deficiencies/reproductive or nervous system problems | | |
| Simazine | 0.004 / 0.004 | Problems with blood | | |
| Toxaphene | 0.003 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver/kidney/thyroid problems | | |
| Volatile Organic Chemicals (VOCs) | | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.2 / 0.2 | Liver/circulatory/nervous system problems | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.005 / 0.003 | Kidney/liver/immune system problems | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.007 / 0.007 | Liver problems | | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.07 / 0.07 | Adrenal gland problems | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.005 / 0 | Cancer risk | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.005 / 0 | Cancer risk | | |
| Benzene | 0.005 / 0 | Cancer risk; anemia/blood problems | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.005 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver problems | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.1 / 0.1 | Kidney/liver problems | | |
| Cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.07 / 0.07 | Liver problems | | |
| Dichloromethane | 0.005 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver problems | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.7 / 0.7 | Kidney/liver problems | | |
| Ortho-Dichlorobenzene | 0.6 / 0.6 | Kidney/liver/circulatory system problems | | |
| Para-Dichlorobenzene | 0.075 / 0.075 | Kidney/liver/spleen/circulatory system problems | | |
| Styrene | 0.1 / 0.1 | Liver/kidney/spleen/circulatory system problems | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene (PCE) | 0.005 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver problems | | |
| Toluene | 1 / 1 | Kidney/liver/nervous system problems | | |
| Trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.1 / 0.1 | Liver problems | | |
| Trichloroethylene (TCE) | 0.005 / 0 | Cancer risk; liver problems | | |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.002 / 0 | Cancer risk | | |
| Xylenes (total) | 10 / 10 | Nervous system problems | | |
| Stage 1 Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts Rule (D/DBPR) Disinfectants | | | Applies to all CWS and NTCNWS that treat water with a chemical disinfectant for primary or residual treatment. | |
| Chlorine | 4.0 (as Cl ₂) MRDL / 4 MRDLG | Eye/nose irritation; stomach discomfort | | |
| Chloramines | 4.0 (as Cl ₂) MRDL / 4 MRDLG | Eye/nose irritation; stomach discomfort; anemia | Monitor at the same sample locations as the Total Coliform Rule. Compliance based on running annual arithmetic average of monthly averages. Daily sample at distribution system entry point. | |
| Chlorine Dioxide | 0.8 (as ClO ₂) MRDL / 0.8 MRDLG | Anemia; nervous system problems | Daily sample at distribution system entry points. Four quarterly distribution system samples. Compliance based on running annual average of quarterly average. | |
| Disinfection Byproducts | | | | |
| Total Trihalomethanes (THMs) | 0.080 | See Stage 2 D/DBPR (below) for health effects. | See Stage 2 D/DBPR (below) for compliance. | |
| Haloacetic Acids (HAAs) | 0.060 | See Stage 2 D/DBPR (below) for health effects. | | |
| Chlorite | 1.0 / 0.8 | Anemia; nervous system problems | Systems that add chlorine dioxide required to take daily sample at distribution system entry point. | |
| Bromate | 0.010 / 0 | Cancer risk | One sample per month (ozone systems only). Compliance based on running annual average. | |
| Total Organic Carbon (TOC) | TT | | Source and treated water TOC sampled once a month. Compliance based on running annual average of TOC removal ratios. | |
| Stage 2 Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts Rule (D/DBPR) Disinfection Byproducts | | | Applies to all CWS and NTCNWS that add a primary residual disinfectant other than UV or deliver water that has been disinfected. | |
| Total Trihalomethanes (THMs) | 0.080 | | | |
| Chloroform | 0.07 / 0 | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane (BDCM) | 0 / 0 | | | |
| Bromochloromethane (BRCM) | 0 / 0.06 | | | |
| Haloacetic Acids (HAAs) | 0.060 | | | |
| Monochloroacetic Acid (MCAA) | 0.07 / 0 | | | |
| Dichloroacetic Acid (DCAA) | 0 / 0 | | | |
| Trichloroacetic Acid (TCAA) | 0.02 / 0 | | | |
| Bromoacetic Acid | 0 / - | | | |
| Dibromoacetic Acid | 0 / - | | | |

| REGULATIONS | NAME OF CONTAMINANT | MCL / MCLG (mg/L unless noted) | HEALTH EFFECTS OF CONTAMINANT | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS / COMMENTS <small>Refer to Code of Federal Regulations or contact your primary agency for additional details.</small> |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| Microbiological Contaminants | Total Coliform Rule (TCR) | | | |
| | Total Coliforms | | | Applies to all surface water and ground water systems. The total number and location of samples is based on the population served and a system-specific sampling plan. If 40 samples or more per month, no more than 5.0% positive; if less than 40 samples per month, no more than one positive for total coliforms. |
| | Fecal Coliforms | MCL - See comments MCLG = 0 for all 3 indicators | | |
| | E.coli | | | |
| | Revised Total Coliform Rule (RTC) | | | |
| | E.coli | TT / 0 | | Coliforms are bacteria that are naturally present in the environment and are used as an indicator that other, potentially harmful bacteria may be present or that a potential pathway exists through which contamination may enter the drinking water distribution system. The presence of E.coli may indicate potential contamination that can cause diarrhea, cramps, nausea, headaches, or other symptoms. |
| | Total Coliforms | TT / 0 | | |
| | Surface Water Treatment Rule (SWTR) | | | |
| | Turbidity | TT | None. Interferes with disinfection. | |
| | Giardia lamblia | TT / 0 | Gastrointestinal illness; Giardiasis | Minimum three-log removal/inactivation of Giardia (99.9%). |
| | Enteric Viruses | TT / 0 | Gastrointestinal and other viral infections | Minimum four-log removal/inactivation of viruses (99.99%). |
| | Legionella | TT / 0 | Legionnaires' disease, a type of pneumonia | No limit. Rule assumes if virus and Giardia limits are met, Legionella will be controlled. |
| | Heterotrophic Plate Count (HPC) | TT | None. Used to measure variety of bacteria common in water. | Filtration avoidance is allowed under certain circumstances (See Regulation). Lower bacteria concentration indicates better maintained water system. |
| | Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (IESWTR) | | | |
| | Turbidity | TT | None. Interferes with disinfection. | |
| Cryptosporidium | TT / 0 | Gastrointestinal illness; Cryptosporidiosis | Applies to all public water systems using surface water or ground water under the direct influence of surface water. Must continue to comply with SWTR. Treatment effectiveness is demonstrated by combined effluent turbidity ≤ 0.3 NTU in 95% of measurements taken each month. Conventional and direct filtration systems must measure combined filter effluent turbidity at least every four hours and continuously monitor turbidity of each individual filter. The maximum turbidity limit is 1 NTU. If the PWS meets filtered water turbidity criteria, it is assumed to achieve the required 2-log Cryptosporidium removal. Performance triggers for individual filter turbidities lead to additional reporting and assessments if exceeded. IESWTR applies to systems ≥ 10,000. LTIESWTR applies to systems <10,000 people. | |
| Long Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (LTIESWTR) | | | | |
| Turbidity | TT | None. Interferes with disinfection. | | |
| Cryptosporidium | TT / 0 | Gastrointestinal illness; Cryptosporidiosis | | |
| Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (LT2ESWTR) | | | | |
| Cryptosporidium | TT / 0 | Gastrointestinal illness; Cryptosporidiosis | Applies to all public water systems using surface water or ground water under the direct influence of surface water. Monthly monitoring for Cryptosporidium for 2 years is required to characterize the source water. Small systems monitor for E.coli in lieu of Cryptosporidium unless E.coli is high - then monitor for Cryptosporidium. Calculated Cryptosporidium concentration defines required level of additional treatment. Treatment bins differ for filtered and unfiltered systems. Additional treatment options selected from the Microbial Toolbox. | |
| Filter Backwash Rule | | | | |
| Cryptosporidium | TT / 0 | Gastrointestinal illness; Cryptosporidiosis | No monitoring is required, but systems must collect and retain information on recycle flows for review by the primary agency. Applies to all systems using conventional or direct filtration treatment that recycle spent filter backwash water (excluding membrane plants), thickener supernatant, or liquids from dewatering processes. T1 Requirement: All recycle flows must be returned at the head of the plant so that complete treatment of the recycle stream is provided. Treatment of recycle streams prior to recycle is not required. | |
| Ground Water Rule (GWR) | | | | |
| Viruses | TT | Gastrointestinal illness | Applies to all ground water systems. Sanitary surveys required every 3 years for CWS and every 5 years for NCWS. Source water monitoring is required for systems that do not treat to 4-log viral inactivation and is triggered by a total coliform (TC)-positive sample in the distribution system. Samples must be taken within 24 hours of TC-positive at all sources on line at the time the TC sample was taken. There are special notification requirements for wholesalers and consecutive systems for TC-positive. If primary agency identifies significant deficiencies in sanitary survey, corrective action is required and can consist of: correcting deficiencies, providing alternative source of water, eliminating source of contamination, or providing treatment for 4-log inactivation of viruses. | |
| | | | | |

Utilities are facing new and intensifying challenges from extreme weather events, resource scarcity, aging infrastructure and changing demographics. To proactively manage these risks before they become system disruptions or service failures, we propose a *Path to Utility Resiliency*. Our *Path to Utility Resiliency* involves four major stages: Assess, Plan, Implement, and Maintain. There are common steps outlined in each of these stages; however, if you're looking to concentrate your efforts, we've also listed specific steps per stage for six different focus areas. Scan the QR code at right to learn more about our experience and approach to utility resiliency.



| | ASSESS | PLAN | IMPLEMENT | MAINTAIN |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| <p>PUBLIC CONFIDENCE RESILIENCY</p> <p>THE RISK Misinformation that erodes the utility's ability to recruit employees and receive community support.</p> | <p>Visioning Benchmarking Data Collection Evaluation Gap Analysis Schedule Definition</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Brand assessment Community analytics Customer information needs Customer satisfaction survey Level of service review Stakeholder interviews | <p>Goal Setting Change Readiness Prioritization Performance Metrics One Water Strategies Pilot Programs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audience and outreach strategies Crisis communications plan Customer experience Proactive storytelling Service equity | <p>Delivery Approaches Design, Build, Operate Performance Tracking Optimization</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civic engagement Consensus building Consumer Confidence Report/regular notifications Public education Value of water communication | <p>Data Collection Ongoing Review Performance Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Build and maintain trust Public confidence in the reliability, stewardship, and communication of the utility Public input Transparent utility activities |
| <p>FINANCIAL RESILIENCY</p> <p>THE RISK Inability to generate enough funding to sustainably maintain utility operations and an equitable level of service.</p> | <p>Affordability analysis Cost of service Demand projections Market survey Policy/political limitations Rate sufficiency</p> | <p>Budget reliability Capital funding alternatives Equitable rate development Equity roadmap Impact fee feasibility Public messaging to educate on investment and value of water Public rate engagement Revenue needs and strategies</p> | <p>Adoption Advocacy Contingent strategies Funding portfolio management Public/private partnerships</p> | <p>Consumer Price Index considerations Cost control measures Data-supported plan for annual rate escalation Investment review Periodic audits</p> |
| <p>WORKFORCE RESILIENCY</p> <p>THE RISK Increasing organizational complexity, labor shortages, and lack of institutional knowledge transfer hinders utility effectiveness and employee experience.</p> | <p>Attrition trends Culture and climate Employee engagement Skills/proficiency gap analysis Staff compensation</p> | <p>Automation opportunities Essential personnel identification Mentorship and skills training programs Operation continuity Succession planning Workforce partnerships</p> | <p>Leadership development pipeline Recruitment/marketing Standard operating procedure (SOP) development Staff augmentation Training/workforce development Workflow optimization</p> | <p>Employee belonging and appreciation Implementable approaches to training Incentive/rewards programs Supported employee culture</p> |
| <p>INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCY</p> <p>THE RISK Vulnerable physical and digital systems that underperform and are subject to service failure due to lack of continuous renewal.</p> | <p>Capacity and supply reliability Condition assessment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vertical assets Horizontal assets Criticality assessment Cyber assessment Identify single points of failure Network reliability Physical threats</p> | <p>Asset management program Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) funding Cyber and SCADA planning Delivery efficiency Emergency response plan Establish replace and rehabilitation renewal frequency Facility and process modernization</p> | <p>Enhanced redundancy Establish maintenance management framework Controls systems upgrades Critical asset hardening Cyber security enhancements Insurance Physical security enhancements Regionalization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decommission plant </p> | <p>CIP updated on predictable schedule Cyber audit or monitoring/penetration testing Data-informed CIP completed Emergency response exercises Incident response exercises and reporting</p> |
| <p>CLIMATE RESILIENCY</p> <p>THE RISK Impairment of water supplies and systems due to ongoing and extreme weather events.</p> | <p>Climate variability Environmental relationships with assets Extreme event risk tolerance Supply portfolio characterization</p> | <p>Disaster response planning Emergency level of service Green opportunities Performance metrics: snowpack, salinity, reservoir level Regional cooperation agreements System hardening Water supply diversification</p> | <p>Regionalization Seawater barrier Supply augmentation/reuse Watershed management</p> | <p>Green targets established Promote water supply diversification and protection</p> |
| <p>WATER QUALITY RESILIENCY</p> <p>THE RISK Safe Drinking Water Act non-compliance due to dynamic regulations, system limitations, and source degradation.</p> | <p>Acceptable finished water quality thresholds Emerging contaminant sampling programs Lead and Copper Rule and PFAS compliance deadlines Lead service line (LSL) inventories</p> | <p>Bipartisan Infrastructure Law allocations Integrated planning Policy engagement Stakeholder communication and partnerships <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Source management Disposal strategies Treatability studies</p> | <p>Advanced water treatment Corrosion control Lead service line replacement Public notification protocol Regionalization Residuals management</p> | <p>Meet or exceed compliance Policy advocacy in place Water quality performance metrics established</p> |